

Estimations d'erreur des schémas de différences finies pour la résolution de l'équation HJB du contrôle stochastique

J. Frédéric Bonnans

INRIA-Saclay & CMAP, Ecole Polytechnique, France

<http://www.cmap.polytechnique.fr/~bonnans>

Séminaire du Laboratoire FIME, 16 janvier 2009
Institut Henri Poincaré, Paris

1 Framework

2 Error analysis: Case of smooth solutions

3 Shaking coefficients

4 Conclusion

Stochastic optimal control problem

$$(P_x) \quad \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{Min } E \int_0^{\infty} \ell(y(t), u(t)) e^{-\lambda t} dt; \\ \left\{ \begin{array}{l} dy(t) = f(y(t), u(t))dt + \sigma(y(t), u(t))dw(t), \\ y(0) = x, \\ u(t) \in U, \quad t \in [0, \infty[. \end{array} \right. \end{array} \right.$$

- $\lambda \geq 0$: discounting factor,
- $\ell: \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$: distributed cost,
- $f: \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$: trend,
- $\sigma(\cdot): \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^m \rightarrow$ space of $n \times r$ matrices
- w : standard r dimensional Brownian motion

Stochastic optimal control problem

$$(P_x) \quad \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{Min } E \int_0^{\infty} \ell(y(t), u(t)) e^{-\lambda t} dt; \\ \left\{ \begin{array}{l} dy(t) = f(y(t), u(t))dt + \sigma(y(t), u(t))dw(t), \\ y(0) = x, \\ u(t) \in U, \quad t \in [0, \infty[. \end{array} \right. \end{array} \right.$$

- $\lambda \geq 0$: discounting factor,
- $\ell: \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$: distributed cost,
- $f: \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$: trend,
- $\sigma(\cdot): \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^m \rightarrow$ space of $n \times r$ matrices
- w : standard r dimensional Brownian motion

Stochastic optimal control problem

$$(P_x) \quad \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{Min } E \int_0^{\infty} \ell(y(t), u(t)) e^{-\lambda t} dt; \\ \left\{ \begin{array}{l} dy(t) = f(y(t), u(t))dt + \sigma(y(t), u(t))dw(t), \\ y(0) = x, \\ u(t) \in U, \quad t \in [0, \infty[. \end{array} \right. \end{array} \right.$$

- $\lambda \geq 0$: discounting factor,
- $\ell : \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$: distributed cost,
- $f : \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$: trend,
- $\sigma(\cdot) : \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^m \rightarrow$ space of $n \times r$ matrices
- w : standard r dimensional Brownian motion

Stochastic optimal control problem

$$(P_x) \quad \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{Min } E \int_0^{\infty} \ell(y(t), u(t)) e^{-\lambda t} dt; \\ \left\{ \begin{array}{l} dy(t) = f(y(t), u(t))dt + \sigma(y(t), u(t))dw(t), \\ y(0) = x, \\ u(t) \in U, \quad t \in [0, \infty[. \end{array} \right. \end{array} \right.$$

- $\lambda \geq 0$: discounting factor,
- $\ell : \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$: distributed cost,
- $f : \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$: trend,
- $\sigma(\cdot) : \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^m \rightarrow$ space of $n \times r$ matrices
- w : standard r dimensional Brownian motion

Stochastic optimal control problem

$$(P_x) \quad \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{Min } E \int_0^{\infty} \ell(y(t), u(t)) e^{-\lambda t} dt; \\ \left\{ \begin{array}{l} dy(t) = f(y(t), u(t))dt + \sigma(y(t), u(t))dw(t), \\ y(0) = x, \\ u(t) \in U, \quad t \in [0, \infty[. \end{array} \right. \end{array} \right.$$

- $\lambda \geq 0$: discounting factor,
- $\ell : \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$: distributed cost,
- $f : \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$: trend,
- $\sigma(\cdot) : \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^m \rightarrow$ space of $n \times r$ matrices
- w : standard r dimensional Brownian motion

Stochastic optimal control problem

$$(P_x) \quad \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{Min } E \int_0^{\infty} \ell(y(t), u(t)) e^{-\lambda t} dt; \\ \left\{ \begin{array}{l} dy(t) = f(y(t), u(t))dt + \sigma(y(t), u(t))dw(t), \\ y(0) = x, \\ u(t) \in U, \quad t \in [0, \infty[. \end{array} \right. \end{array} \right.$$

- $\lambda \geq 0$: discounting factor,
- $\ell : \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$: distributed cost,
- $f : \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$: trend,
- $\sigma(\cdot) : \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^m \rightarrow$ space of $n \times r$ matrices
- w : standard r dimensional Brownian motion.

Smoothness of the value function

Theorem

Assume that U is compact and that ℓ , f and σ are Lipschitz w.r.t. y , uniformly in $u \in U$.

Then the state equation is well-posed, and the value function $V(x)$ is Lipschitz if λ is large enough, and Hölder otherwise with constant say μ_V .

HJB equation

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda v(x) = & \inf_{u \in U} \left\{ \ell(x, u) + f(x, u) \cdot v_x(x) \right. \\ & \left. + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j=1}^n a_{ij}(x, u) v_{x_i x_j}(x) \right\}, \\ & \text{for all } x \in \mathbb{R}^n. \end{aligned}$$

- Covariance matrix:
 $a(x, u) := \sigma(x, u)\sigma(x, u)^T, \quad \forall (x, u) \in \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^m.$
- All functions Lipschitz continuous and bounded: V unique bounded *viscosity solution* of HJB.

HJB equation

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda v(x) = & \inf_{u \in U} \{ \ell(x, u) + f(x, u) \cdot v_x(x) \\ & + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j=1}^n a_{ij}(x, u) v_{x_i x_j}(x) \}, \\ & \text{for all } x \in \mathbb{R}^n. \end{aligned}$$

- Covariance matrix:
 $a(x, u) := \sigma(x, u)\sigma(x, u)^T, \quad \forall (x, u) \in \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^m.$
- All functions Lipschitz continuous and bounded: λ unique bounded viscosity solution of HJB.

HJB equation

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda v(x) = & \inf_{u \in U} \left\{ \ell(x, u) + f(x, u) \cdot v_x(x) \right. \\ & \left. + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j=1}^n a_{ij}(x, u) v_{x_i x_j}(x) \right\}, \end{aligned} \quad \text{for all } x \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

- Covariance matrix:
 $a(x, u) := \sigma(x, u)\sigma(x, u)^T, \quad \forall (x, u) \in \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^m.$
- All functions Lipschitz continuous and bounded: V unique bounded *viscosity solution* of HJB.

A simple case

$$\lambda v(x) = \ell(x) + \Delta v(x)$$

Finite differences scheme, case $n = 1$, stepsize $h > 0$:

$$\lambda v(x) = \ell(x) + \frac{v(x - h) - 2v(x) + v(x + h)}{h^2}$$

Equivalently, multiplying by $h_0 > 0$, and adding $v(x)$ on each side:

$$v(x) = \frac{1}{1 + h_0 \lambda} \left(\frac{h_0}{h^2} (v(x - h) + v(x + h)) + \left(1 - \frac{2h_0}{h^2}\right) v(x) \right)$$

A simple case

$$\lambda v(x) = \ell(x) + \Delta v(x)$$

Finite differences scheme, case $n = 1$, stepsize $h > 0$:

$$\lambda v(x) = \ell(x) + \frac{v(x - h) - 2v(x) + v(x + h)}{h^2}$$

Equivalently, multiplying by $h_0 > 0$, and adding $v(x)$ on each side:

$$v(x) = \frac{1}{1 + h_0 \lambda} \left(\frac{h_0}{h^2} (v(x - h) + v(x + h)) + \left(1 - \frac{2h_0}{h^2}\right) v(x) \right)$$

A simple case

$$\lambda v(x) = \ell(x) + \Delta v(x)$$

Finite differences scheme, case $n = 1$, stepsize $h > 0$:

$$\lambda v(x) = \ell(x) + \frac{v(x - h) - 2v(x) + v(x + h)}{h^2}$$

Equivalently, multiplying by $h_0 > 0$, and adding $v(x)$ on each side:

$$v(x) = \frac{1}{1 + h_0 \lambda} \left(\frac{h_0}{h^2} (v(x - h) + v(x + h)) + \left(1 - \frac{2h_0}{h^2}\right) v(x) \right)$$

(Generalized) finite differences

Upwind Finite difference operator with step size h :

$$\delta_u[f]v(x) := f(x, u)_+ \cdot \frac{v(x + h\mathbf{1}) - v(x)}{h} + f(x, u)_- \cdot \frac{v(x) - v(x - h\mathbf{1})}{h}$$

Decomposition into rank 1 diffusions (α scalar, $\eta_i(x, u) \in \mathbb{R}^n$):

$$a(x, u) = \sum_{i \in I} \alpha_i(x, u) \eta_i(x, u) \eta_i(x, u)^\top$$

Second order Finite difference operator along direction $\eta_i(x, u)$

$$\Delta_{i,u} v(x) := \frac{v(x - h\eta_i(x, u)) - 2v(x) + v(x + h\eta_i(x, u))}{h^2}$$

Finite difference scheme:

$$\lambda v(x) = \inf_{u \in U} \left\{ \ell(x, u) + \delta_u[f]v(x) + \sum_{i \in I} \alpha_i(x, u) \Delta_{i,u} v(x) \right\}.$$

(Generalized) finite differences

Upwind Finite difference operator with step size h :

$$\delta_u[f]v(x) := f(x, u)_+ \cdot \frac{v(x + h\mathbf{1}) - v(x)}{h} + f(x, u)_- \cdot \frac{v(x) - v(x - h\mathbf{1})}{h}$$

Decomposition into rank 1 diffusions (α scalar, $\eta_i(x, u) \in \mathbb{R}^n$):

$$a(x, u) = \sum_{i \in I} \alpha_i(x, u) \eta_i(x, u) \eta_i(x, u)^\top$$

Second order Finite difference operator along direction $\eta_i(x, u)$

$$\Delta_{i,u} v(x) := \frac{v(x - h\eta_i(x, u)) - 2v(x) + v(x + h\eta_i(x, u))}{h^2}$$

Finite difference scheme:

$$\lambda v(x) = \inf_{u \in U} \left\{ \ell(x, u) + \delta_u[f]v(x) + \sum_{i \in I} \alpha_i(x, u) \Delta_{i,u} v(x) \right\}.$$

(Generalized) finite differences

Upwind Finite difference operator with step size h :

$$\delta_u[f]v(x) := f(x, u)_+ \cdot \frac{v(x + h\mathbf{1}) - v(x)}{h} + f(x, u)_- \cdot \frac{v(x) - v(x - h\mathbf{1})}{h}$$

Decomposition into rank 1 diffusions (α scalar, $\eta_i(x, u) \in \mathbb{R}^n$):

$$a(x, u) = \sum_{i \in I} \alpha_i(x, u) \eta_i(x, u) \eta_i(x, u)^\top$$

Second order Finite difference operator along direction $\eta_i(x, u)$

$$\Delta_{i,u} v(x) := \frac{v(x - h\eta_i(x, u)) - 2v(x) + v(x + h\eta_i(x, u))}{h^2}$$

Finite difference scheme:

$$\lambda v(x) = \inf_{u \in U} \left\{ \ell(x, u) + \delta_u[f]v(x) + \sum_{i \in I} \alpha_i(x, u) \Delta_{i,u} v(x) \right\}.$$

(Generalized) finite differences

Upwind Finite difference operator with step size h :

$$\delta_u[f]v(x) := f(x, u)_+ \cdot \frac{v(x + h\mathbf{1}) - v(x)}{h} + f(x, u)_- \cdot \frac{v(x) - v(x - h\mathbf{1})}{h}$$

Decomposition into rank 1 diffusions (α scalar, $\eta_i(x, u) \in \mathbb{R}^n$):

$$a(x, u) = \sum_{i \in I} \alpha_i(x, u) \eta_i(x, u) \eta_i(x, u)^\top$$

Second order Finite difference operator along direction $\eta_i(x, u)$

$$\Delta_{i,u} v(x) := \frac{v(x - h\eta_i(x, u)) - 2v(x) + v(x + h\eta_i(x, u))}{h^2}$$

Finite difference scheme:

$$\lambda v(x) = \inf_{u \in U} \left\{ \ell(x, u) + \delta_u[f]v(x) + \sum_{i \in I} \alpha_i(x, u) \Delta_{i,u} v(x) \right\}.$$

Grids

If the decomposition of a is such that $\eta_i(x, u)$ belongs to $h\mathbb{Z}^n$:

$$a(x, u) = \sum_{i \in I} \alpha_i(x, u) \eta_i(x, u) \eta_i(x, u)^\top$$

Then independant numerical schemes over each grid $x_0 + h\mathbb{Z}^n$

Integer feasibility problem for each (x, u) : difficult

FB, Zidani: Characterization of feasibility given the stencil size p ,
i.e.

$$\eta_i(x, u) \in h[-p, p]^n.$$

FB, Ottenwaelter, Zidani: fast computation ($O(p)$) when $n = 2$,
based on arithmetic properties (walk in the Stern-Brocot tree).

Grids

If the decomposition of a is such that $\eta_i(x, u)$ belongs to $h\mathbb{Z}^n$:

$$a(x, u) = \sum_{i \in I} \alpha_i(x, u) \eta_i(x, u) \eta_i(x, u)^\top$$

Then independant numerical schemes over each grid $x_0 + h\mathbb{Z}^n$

Integer feasibility problem for each (x, u) : difficult

FB, Zidani: Characterization of feasibility given the stencil size p ,
i.e.

$$\eta_i(x, u) \in h[-p, p]^n.$$

FB, Ottenwaelter, Zidani: fast computation ($O(p)$) when $n = 2$,
based on arithmetic properties (walk in the Stern-Brocot tree).

Grids

If the decomposition of a is such that $\eta_i(x, u)$ belongs to $h\mathbb{Z}^n$:

$$a(x, u) = \sum_{i \in I} \alpha_i(x, u) \eta_i(x, u) \eta_i(x, u)^\top$$

Then independant numerical schemes over each grid $x_0 + h\mathbb{Z}^n$

Integer feasibility problem for each (x, u) : difficult

FB, Zidani: Characterization of feasibility given the stencil size p ,
i.e.

$$\eta_i(x, u) \in h[-p, p]^n.$$

FB, Ottenwaelter, Zidani: fast computation ($O(p)$) when $n = 2$,
based on arithmetic properties (walk in the Stern-Brocot tree).

Contractant fixed-point form

h_0 : fictitious time step

$$\beta := (1 + \lambda h_0)^{-1} < 1.$$

$$\begin{aligned} v(x) = & \left. \beta \inf_{u \in U} \left\{ h_0 \ell(x, u) \right. \right. \\ & + \frac{h_0}{h} (f(x, u)_+ v(x + h\mathbf{1}) - f(x, u)_- v(x - h\mathbf{1})) \\ & \sum_{i \in I} \alpha_i(x, u) \frac{h_0}{h^2} c_h v(x - h\eta_i(x, u)) + c_h v(x + h\eta_i(x, u)) \\ & \left. \left. + (1 - |f(x, u)|_1 \frac{h_0}{h} - 2 \sum_{i \in I} \alpha_i(x, u) \frac{h_0}{h^2}) v(x) \right\} . \right. \end{aligned}$$

Monotonicity condition for the time step

$$h_0 \left(\frac{|f(x, u)|_1}{h} + \frac{2}{h^2} \sum_{i \in I} \alpha_i(x, u) \right) \leq 1.$$

Contractant fixed-point form

h_0 : fictitious time step

$$\beta := (1 + \lambda h_0)^{-1} < 1.$$

$$\begin{aligned} v(x) = & \left. \beta \inf_{u \in U} \left\{ h_0 \ell(x, u) \right. \right. \\ & + \frac{h_0}{h} (f(x, u)_+ v(x + h\mathbf{1}) - f(x, u)_- v(x - h\mathbf{1})) \\ & \sum_{i \in I} \alpha_i(x, u) \frac{h_0}{h^2} c_h v(x - h\eta_i(x, u)) + c_h v(x + h\eta_i(x, u)) \\ & \left. \left. + (1 - |f(x, u)|_1 \frac{h_0}{h} - 2 \sum_{i \in I} \alpha_i(x, u) \frac{h_0}{h^2}) v(x) \right\} . \right. \end{aligned}$$

Monotonicity condition for the time step

$$h_0 \left(\frac{|f(x, u)|_1}{h} + \frac{2}{h^2} \sum_{i \in I} \alpha_i(x, u) \right) \leq 1.$$

“Markov chain” maximum principle form

Stochastic matrix $M(\chi, x, u) \geq 0$, $\sum_{\chi} M(\chi, x, u) = 1$:

$$v(x) = \beta \inf_u \left(h_0 \ell(x, u) + \sum_{\chi} M(\chi, x, u) v(\chi) \right).$$

If v' associated with the perturbed cost ℓ' :

$$\begin{aligned} v'(x) - v(x) &\leq \beta \sup_u (h_0 \ell'(x, u) - h_0 \ell(x, u) \\ &\quad + \sum_{\chi} M(\chi, x, u) (v'(\chi) - v(\chi))). \end{aligned}$$

and hence using $v'(\chi) - v(\chi) \leq \sup(v' - v)$

$$v'(x) - v(x) \leq \beta (h_0 \sup(\ell' - \ell) + \sup(v' - v)).$$

Finally since $\beta := (1 + \lambda h_0)^{-1}$:

$$v' - v \leq \frac{1}{\lambda} \sup(\ell' - \ell)$$

“Markov chain” maximum principle form

Stochastic matrix $M(\chi, x, u) \geq 0$, $\sum_{\chi} M(\chi, x, u) = 1$:

$$v(x) = \beta \inf_u \left(h_0 \ell(x, u) + \sum_{\chi} M(\chi, x, u) v(\chi) \right).$$

If v' associated with the perturbed cost ℓ' :

$$\begin{aligned} v'(x) - v(x) &\leq \beta \sup_u (h_0 \ell'(x, u) - h_0 \ell(x, u) \\ &\quad + \sum_{\chi} M(\chi, x, u) (v'(\chi) - v(\chi))). \end{aligned}$$

and hence using $v'(\chi) - v(\chi) \leq \sup(v' - v)$

$$v'(x) - v(x) \leq \beta (h_0 \sup(\ell' - \ell) + \sup(v' - v)).$$

Finally since $\beta := (1 + \lambda h_0)^{-1}$:

$$v' - v \leq \frac{1}{\lambda} \sup(\ell' - \ell)$$

“Markov chain” maximum principle form

Stochastic matrix $M(\chi, x, u) \geq 0$, $\sum_{\chi} M(\chi, x, u) = 1$:

$$v(x) = \beta \inf_u \left(h_0 \ell(x, u) + \sum_{\chi} M(\chi, x, u) v(\chi) \right).$$

If v' associated with the perturbed cost ℓ' :

$$\begin{aligned} v'(x) - v(x) &\leq \beta \sup_u (h_0 \ell'(x, u) - h_0 \ell(x, u) \\ &\quad + \sum_{\chi} M(\chi, x, u) (v'(\chi) - v(\chi))). \end{aligned}$$

and hence using $v'(\chi) - v(\chi) \leq \sup(v' - v)$

$$v'(x) - v(x) \leq \beta (h_0 \sup(\ell' - \ell) + \sup(v' - v)).$$

Finally since $\beta := (1 + \lambda h_0)^{-1}$:

$$v' - v \leq \frac{1}{\lambda} \sup(\ell' - \ell)$$

“Markov chain” maximum principle form

Stochastic matrix $M(\chi, x, u) \geq 0$, $\sum_{\chi} M(\chi, x, u) = 1$:

$$v(x) = \beta \inf_u \left(h_0 \ell(x, u) + \sum_{\chi} M(\chi, x, u) v(\chi) \right).$$

If v' associated with the perturbed cost ℓ' :

$$\begin{aligned} v'(x) - v(x) &\leq \beta \sup_u (h_0 \ell'(x, u) - h_0 \ell(x, u) \\ &\quad + \sum_{\chi} M(\chi, x, u) (v'(\chi) - v(\chi))). \end{aligned}$$

and hence using $v'(\chi) - v(\chi) \leq \sup(v' - v)$

$$v'(x) - v(x) \leq \beta (h_0 \sup(\ell' - \ell) + \sup(v' - v)).$$

Finally since $\beta := (1 + \lambda h_0)^{-1}$:

$$v' - v \leq \frac{1}{\lambda} \sup(\ell' - \ell)$$

Uniqueness and maximum principle

Theorem

The scheme has a unique condition v_h such that, if v' is the solution associated with ℓ' :

$$v'_h - v_h \leq \frac{1}{\lambda} \sup(\ell' - \ell).$$

In particular

$$\|v_h\|_\infty \leq \frac{1}{\lambda} \|\ell\|_\infty.$$

Truncation errors for smooth solutions of the HJB equation

Hölder constants for the solution V :

$$\left| V^{(k)}(x + h) - V^{(k)}(x) \right| \leq C_k h^{\mu_k}.$$

Then

$$\left| \frac{V(x + h) - V(x)}{h} - V'(x) \right| \leq C_1 h^{\mu_1}.$$

$$\left| \Delta_{i,u} v(x) - V''(x)(\eta_i(x, u), \eta_j(x, u)) \right| \leq C_{3,i} h^{1+\mu_3}.$$

Set

$$C_3 := \sum_i C_{3,i}.$$

Truncation errors for smooth solutions of the HJB equation

Hölder constants for the solution V :

$$\left| V^{(k)}(x + h) - V^{(k)}(x) \right| \leq C_k h^{\mu_k}.$$

Then

$$\left| \frac{V(x + h) - V(x)}{h} - V'(x) \right| \leq C_1 h^{\mu_1}.$$

$$\left| \Delta_{i,u} v(x) - V''(x)(\eta_i(x, u), \eta_i(x, u)) \right| \leq C_{3,i} h^{1+\mu_3}.$$

Set

$$C_3 := \sum_i C_{3,i}.$$

Put V in the numerical scheme

Finite difference scheme:

$$\lambda v(x) = \inf_{u \in U} \left\{ \ell'(x, u) + \delta_u[f]v(x) + \sum_{i \in I} \alpha_i(x, u) \Delta_{i,u} v(x) \right\}.$$

where

$$\|\ell' - \ell\|_\infty \leq \|f\|_\infty C_1 h^{\mu_1} + \|a\|_\infty C_3 h^{1+\mu_3}.$$

and hence,

$$\|V - v_h\|_\infty \leq \frac{1}{\lambda} (\|f\|_\infty C_1 h^{\mu_1} + \|a\|_\infty C_3 h^{1+\mu_3}).$$

Case of smooth subsolutions

(Viscosity) subsolutions of the HJB equation:

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda v(x) &\leq \inf_{u \in U} \left\{ \ell(x, u) + f(x, u) \cdot v_x(x) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j=1}^n a_{ij}(x, u) v_{x_i x_j}(x) \right\}, \\ &\text{for all } x \in \mathbb{R}^n. \end{aligned}$$

Monotonicity: $v \leq V$

V_ε ε -subsolution: $V - \varepsilon \leq V_\varepsilon \leq V$.

$$\left| V_\varepsilon^{(k)}(x + h) - V_\varepsilon^{(k)}(x) \right| \leq C_k h^{\mu_k}.$$

Alors

$$\sup (V - v_h) \leq \varepsilon + \sup (V_\varepsilon - v_h) \leq \varepsilon + \frac{1}{\lambda} (\|f\|_\infty C_1 h^{\mu_1} + \|a\|_\infty C_3 h^{1+\mu_3}).$$

Case of smooth subsolutions

(Viscosity) subsolutions of the HJB equation:

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda v(x) &\leq \inf_{u \in U} \left\{ \ell(x, u) + f(x, u) \cdot v_x(x) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j=1}^n a_{ij}(x, u) v_{x_i x_j}(x) \right\}, \end{aligned} \quad \text{for all } x \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

Monotonicity: $v \leq V$

V_ε ε -subsolution: $V - \varepsilon \leq V_\varepsilon \leq V$.

$$\left| V_\varepsilon^{(k)}(x + h) - V_\varepsilon^{(k)}(x) \right| \leq C_k h^{\mu_k}.$$

Alors

$$\sup (V - v_h) \leq \varepsilon + \sup (V_\varepsilon - v_h) \leq \varepsilon + \frac{1}{\lambda} (\|f\|_\infty C_1 h^{\mu_1} + \|a\|_\infty C_3 h^{1+\mu_3}).$$

Case of smooth subsolutions

(Viscosity) subsolutions of the HJB equation:

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda v(x) &\leq \inf_{u \in U} \left\{ \ell(x, u) + f(x, u) \cdot v_x(x) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j=1}^n a_{ij}(x, u) v_{x_i x_j}(x) \right\}, \end{aligned} \quad \text{for all } x \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

Monotonicity: $v \leq V$

V_ε ε -subsolution: $V - \varepsilon \leq V_\varepsilon \leq V$.

$$\left| V_\varepsilon^{(k)}(x + h) - V_\varepsilon^{(k)}(x) \right| \leq C_k h^{\mu_k}.$$

Alors

$$\sup (V - v_h) \leq \varepsilon + \sup (V_\varepsilon - v_h) \leq \varepsilon + \frac{1}{\lambda} (\|f\|_\infty C_1 h^{\mu_1} + \|a\|_\infty C_3 h^{1+\mu_3}).$$

Shaking coefficients (Krylov 2000)

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda v(x) = & \inf_{u \in U, |\epsilon| \leq 1} \{ \ell(x - \epsilon e, u) + f(x - \epsilon e, u) \cdot v_x(x) \\ & + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j=1}^n a_{ij}(x - \epsilon e, u) v_{x_i x_j}(x) \}, \\ & \text{for all } x \in \mathbb{R}^n. \end{aligned}$$

Solution denoted V^ε . Lipschitz coefficient

$$\begin{aligned} & |\ell(x, u) - \ell(x - \epsilon e, u)| + |f(x, u) - f(x - \epsilon e, u)| \\ & + |\sigma(x, u) - \sigma(x - \epsilon e, u)| = O(\epsilon) \end{aligned}$$

Monotonicity+ stability of solutions of HJB equations if λ large enough (e.g. Jakobsen-Karlsen)

$$0 \leq V - V^\varepsilon \leq O(\varepsilon).$$

Shaking coefficients (Krylov 2000)

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda v(x) = & \inf_{u \in U, |e| \leq 1} \{ \ell(x - \varepsilon e, u) + f(x - \varepsilon e, u) \cdot v_x(x) \\ & + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j=1}^n a_{ij}(x - \varepsilon e, u) v_{x_i x_j}(x) \}, \\ & \text{for all } x \in \mathbb{R}^n. \end{aligned}$$

Solution denoted V^ε . Lipschitz coefficient

$$\begin{aligned} |\ell(x, u) - \ell(x - \varepsilon e, u)| + |f(x, u) - f(x - \varepsilon e, u)| \\ + |\sigma(x, u) - \sigma(x - \varepsilon e, u)| = O(\varepsilon) \end{aligned}$$

Monotonicity+ stability of solutions of HJB equations if λ large enough (e.g. Jakobsen-Karlsen)

$$0 \leq V - V^\varepsilon \leq O(\varepsilon).$$

Shaking coefficients (Krylov 2000)

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda v(x) = & \inf_{u \in U, |\epsilon| \leq 1} \{ \ell(x - \epsilon e, u) + f(x - \epsilon e, u) \cdot v_x(x) \\ & + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j=1}^n a_{ij}(x - \epsilon e, u) v_{x_i x_j}(x) \}, \end{aligned} \quad \text{for all } x \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

Solution denoted V^ε . Lipschitz coefficient

$$\begin{aligned} |\ell(x, u) - \ell(x - \epsilon e, u)| + |f(x, u) - f(x - \epsilon e, u)| \\ + |\sigma(x, u) - \sigma(x - \epsilon e, u)| = O(\varepsilon) \end{aligned}$$

Monotonicity+ stability of solutions of HJB equations if λ large enough (e.g. Jakobsen-Karlsen)

$$0 \leq V - V^\varepsilon \leq O(\varepsilon).$$

Convexity of the set of subsolutions

Write the HJB equation

$$F(x, v(x), v'(x), v''(x)) = 0, \quad \text{for all } x \in \mathbb{R}^n,$$

$$F(x, r, p, Q) := \lambda r + \sup_{u \in U} (-\ell(x, u) - f(x, u) \cdot p - \frac{1}{2} a(x, u) \cdot Q)$$

F convex as supremum of affine functions

Therefore the following set is convex:

$$\{(r, p, Q); F(x, r(x), p(x), Q(x)) \leq 0 \quad \text{for all } x \in \mathbb{R}^n\}$$

It follows that the set of classical subsolutions is convex

Proof for viscosity subsolutions based on Ishii's lemma, see Barles and Jakobsen.

Smoothing of subsolutions

- $x \mapsto V^\varepsilon(x + \varepsilon e)$ subsolution if $|e| \leq 1$.
- $\rho: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$, C^∞ with support in $B(0, 1)$;
- $\rho_\varepsilon(x) := \varepsilon^{-n} \rho(x/\varepsilon)$.
- $V_\varepsilon(x) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} V^\varepsilon(y) \rho_\varepsilon(x - y) dy = V^\varepsilon * \rho_\varepsilon$.
- $V_\varepsilon(x)$ subsolution since we have a “convex Hamiltonian”.
- $V_\varepsilon^{(k)}(x) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} V^\varepsilon(x - y) \rho_\varepsilon^{(k)}(y) dy$
- $\left\| \rho_\varepsilon^{(k)} \right\|_\infty \leq C_{\rho, k} \varepsilon^{-n-k}$,
- $|V_\varepsilon^{(k)}(x) - V_\varepsilon^{(k)}(y)| \leq \varepsilon^{-k} C_{\rho, k} C_V |x - y|^{\mu_V}$.

Smoothing of subsolutions

- $x \mapsto V^\varepsilon(x + \varepsilon e)$ subsolution if $|e| \leq 1$.
- $\rho \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$, C^∞ with support in $B(0, 1)$;
- $\rho_\varepsilon(x) := \varepsilon^{-n} \rho(x/\varepsilon)$.
- $V_\varepsilon(x) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} V^\varepsilon(y) \rho_\varepsilon(x - y) dy = V^\varepsilon * \rho_\varepsilon$.
- $V_\varepsilon(x)$ subsolution since we have a “convex Hamiltonian”.
- $V_\varepsilon^{(k)}(x) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} V^\varepsilon(x - y) \rho_\varepsilon^{(k)}(y) dy$
- $\left\| \rho_\varepsilon^{(k)} \right\|_\infty \leq C_{\rho, k} \varepsilon^{-n-k}$,
- $\left| V_\varepsilon^{(k)}(x) - V_\varepsilon^{(k)}(y) \right| \leq \varepsilon^{-k} C_{\rho, k} C_V |x - y|^{\mu_V}$.

Smoothing of subsolutions

- $x \mapsto V^\varepsilon(x + \varepsilon e)$ subsolution if $|e| \leq 1$.
- $\rho: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$, C^∞ with support in $B(0, 1)$;
- $\rho_\varepsilon(x) := \varepsilon^{-n} \rho(x/\varepsilon)$.
- $V_\varepsilon(x) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} V^\varepsilon(y) \rho_\varepsilon(x - y) dy = V^\varepsilon * \rho_\varepsilon$.
- $V_\varepsilon(x)$ subsolution since we have a “convex Hamiltonian”.
- $V_\varepsilon^{(k)}(x) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} V^\varepsilon(x - y) \rho_\varepsilon^{(k)}(y) dy$
- $\left\| \rho_\varepsilon^{(k)} \right\|_\infty \leq C_{\rho, k} \varepsilon^{-n-k}$,
- $\left| V_\varepsilon^{(k)}(x) - V_\varepsilon^{(k)}(y) \right| \leq \varepsilon^{-k} C_{\rho, k} C_V |x - y|^{\mu_V}$.

Smoothing of subsolutions

- $x \mapsto V^\varepsilon(x + \varepsilon e)$ subsolution if $|e| \leq 1$.
- $\rho \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$, C^∞ with support in $B(0, 1)$;
- $\rho_\varepsilon(x) := \varepsilon^{-n} \rho(x/\varepsilon)$.
- $V_\varepsilon(x) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} V^\varepsilon(y) \rho_\varepsilon(x - y) dy = V^\varepsilon * \rho_\varepsilon$.
- $V_\varepsilon(x)$ subsolution since we have a “convex Hamiltonian”.
- $V_\varepsilon^{(k)}(x) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} V^\varepsilon(x - y) \rho_\varepsilon^{(k)}(y) dy$
- $\left\| \rho_\varepsilon^{(k)} \right\|_\infty \leq C_{\rho, k} \varepsilon^{-n-k}$,
- $\left| V_\varepsilon^{(k)}(x) - V_\varepsilon^{(k)}(y) \right| \leq \varepsilon^{-k} C_{\rho, k} C_V |x - y|^{\mu_V}$.

Lower estimate of the solution of the scheme

- Since $C_k = O(\varepsilon^{-k})$ and $\mu_k = \mu_V$, obtain

$$C_1 h^{\mu_1} = O(\varepsilon^{-1} h^{\mu_V}); \quad C_3 h^{1+\mu_3} = O(\varepsilon^{-3} h^{\mu_V}).$$

For $\varepsilon = h^{\mu_V/(1+\mu_V)}$, obtain

$$\sup(V - v_h) \leq O(h^{\mu_V^2/(1+\mu_V)}).$$

If λ large enough, $\mu_V = 1$ and

$$\sup(V - v_h) \leq O(h^{1/2}).$$

Same estimate as for deterministic problems ($\sigma = 0$).

Lower estimate of the solution of the scheme

- Since $C_k = O(\varepsilon^{-k})$ and $\mu_k = \mu_V$, obtain

$$C_1 h^{\mu_1} = O(\varepsilon^{-1} h^{\mu_V}); \quad C_3 h^{1+\mu_3} = O(\varepsilon^{-3} h^{\mu_V}).$$

For $\varepsilon = h^{\mu_V/(1+\mu_V)}$, obtain

$$\sup(V - v_h) \leq O(h^{\mu_V^2/(1+\mu_V)}).$$

If λ large enough, $\mu_V = 1$ and

$$\sup(V - v_h) \leq O(h^{1/2}).$$

Same estimate as for deterministic problems ($\sigma = 0$).

Lower estimate of the solution of the scheme

- Since $C_k = O(\varepsilon^{-k})$ and $\mu_k = \mu_V$, obtain

$$C_1 h^{\mu_1} = O(\varepsilon^{-1} h^{\mu_V}); \quad C_3 h^{1+\mu_3} = O(\varepsilon^{-3} h^{\mu_V}).$$

For $\varepsilon = h^{\mu_V/(1+\mu_V)}$, obtain

$$\sup(V - v_h) \leq O(h^{\mu_V^2/(1+\mu_V)}).$$

If λ large enough, $\mu_V = 1$ and

$$\sup(V - v_h) \leq O(h^{1/2}).$$

Same estimate as for deterministic problems ($\sigma = 0$).

Upper estimate of the solution of the scheme

- Symmetrix procedure valid when v_h Hölder.
- Shake coefficients of the scheme: solution v_h^ε .
- $v_{h,\varepsilon} := v_h^\varepsilon * \rho_\varepsilon$ is a smooth subsolution of the scheme.
- $v_{h,\varepsilon}$ subsolution of a perturbed HJB equation with some $\ell_\varepsilon(x, u)$

$$\ell_\varepsilon(x, u) \geq \ell - \varepsilon^{-k} C_{\rho, k} C_V |x - y|^{\mu_V}.$$

Error estimates of the same order on the other side: $h^{1/2}$ in the Lipschitz case.

Regularity of the solution of the scheme

Theorem

Krylov (2005): if λ large enough, v_h Lipschitz uniformly in h .

Proof: based on similar result for the parabolic case, and let $t \rightarrow \infty$.

Open problems

- Simple proof for previous theorem
- Hölder regularity for the solution of the scheme if λ is small (as for the solution of HJB equation).
- Extension to nonconvex Hamiltonians (games ?)
See B-Maroso-Zidani (adverse stopping).
- Combinations with Monte-Carlo algorithms.

References

- G. Barles and E.R. Jakobsen: *On the convergence rate of approximation schemes for HJB equations.* M2AN 2002
- G. Barles and E.R. Jakobsen: *Error bounds for monotone approximation schemes for HJB equations.* SIAM Num Anal 2005
- N.V. Krylov: *On the rate of convergence of finite difference approximation for Bellman's equation.* St. Petersburg Math. J., 1997.
- N.V. Krylov: *On the rate of convergence of finite-difference approximations for Bellman's equations with variable coefficients.* Prob. Theory and Related Fields 2000.
- N.V. Krylov: *The rate of convergence of finite-difference approximations for Bellman equations with Lipschitz coefficients.* Applied Math. Optim. 2005.

References

- J.F. B, and H. Zidani: *Consistency of generalized finite difference schemes for the stochastic HJB equation.* SIAM J. Numer. Anal. 2003.
- J.F. B, E. Ottenwaelter and H. Zidani: *Numerical schemes for the two dimensional second-order HJB equation.* M2AN 2004.
- J.F. B, S. Maroso and H. Zidani: *Error estimates for stochastic differential games: the adverse stopping case.* IMA, J. Num. Anal. 2006.